

SEKI, NISHIMURA & WATASE, LLP
GILBERT M. NISHIMURA (SBN 57905)
gnishimura@snw-law.com
JANET L. KEUPER (SBN 128417)
jkeuper@snw-law.com
600 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1250
Los Angeles, California 90017
Tel.: (213) 481-2869 | Fax: (213) 481-2871

Attorneys for Defendants COUNTY OF LOS
ANGELES, ROSELYN IBANEZ, DARLA
CODDINGTON and JERRY POWERS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ARIANA AMORE,

Plaintiff,

vs.

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, LOS
ANGELES COUNTY PROBATION
DEPARTMENT, LOS ANGELES
COUNTY CHIEF PROBATION
OFFICER JERRY POWERS, DEPUTY
PROBATION OFFICER OSCAR
CALDERON, JR., DEPUTY
PROBATION OFFICER FNU
IBANEZ, DEPUTY PROBATION
OFFICER FNU GONZALEZ,
SUPERVISING DEPUTY
PROBATION OFFICER FNU
CODDINGTON, and DOES 1 TO 10,

Defendants.

Case No.: 2:17-cv-5207-JAK

(Hon. John A. Kronstadt)

**PARTIES' JOINT STATUS
REPORT RE STATUS OF
SETTLEMENT**

Action Filed: July 14, 2017

Order to Show Cause Hearing:

May 14, 2018

Time: 1:30 p.m.

1 TO THE HONORABLE COURT:

2 Pursuant to the Court's Order Setting Order to Show Cause re
3 Dismissal, the Parties to this action hereby submit the following settlement
4 status report:

5 1. A Notice of Settlement of the Entire Action with prejudice,
6 subject to County of Los Angeles' settlement approval process, including
7 Board of Supervisors' approval, was filed on January 15, 2018. The Court's
8 Order to Show Cause Hearing of March 12, 2018 was continued to May 14,
9 2018.

10 2. County of Los Angeles rules require a detailed summary and
11 corrective action plan be prepared and approved by the subject County
12 department as a first step in the settlement approval process. This
13 requirement provides safeguards to prevent future occurrence of the
14 complained about conduct.

15 3. At this point, the County Counsel Settlement Memorandum and
16 the detailed corrective action plan are completed. This process took a little
17 longer than anticipated due to the atypical nature of the claimed conduct.

18 4. Due to the large settlement amount, a review, and approval by
19 the Claims Board and the Board of Supervisors are also required.

20 5. The agenda for the May 7th County Claims Board is already full.
21 Defendants are making every effort to have the subject corrective action plan
22 and settlement memorandum placed on the May 21st Claims Board agenda
23 for consideration.

24 6. Once approved by the Claims Board, the settlement will be
25 scheduled for review and consideration by the Board of Supervisors.

26 7. The settlement approval process does not require any further
27 input or action by the Plaintiff.
28

It is respectfully submitted that the Court schedule a further order to show cause hearing on this matter in 60 days. The Defendants will submit an updated status report advising this Court of any further developments in the settlement approval process.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: April 30, 2018

LAW OFFICES OF JUSTIN STERLING
LAW OFFICES OF ERIN DARLING

By: /s/ JUSTIN STERLING
JUSTIN STERLING
ERIN DARLING
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Dated: April 30, 2018

SEKI, NISHIMURA & WATASE, LLP

By: /s/ GILBERT M. NISHIMURA
GILBERT M. NISHIMURA
JANET L. KEUPER
Attorneys for Defendants